

PSNH Energy Park 780 North Commercial Street, Manchester, NH 03101

Public Service Company of New Hampshire P.O. Box 330 Manchester, NH 03105-0330 (603) 669-4000 www.psnh.com

The Northeast Utilities System

March 20, 2009

Mr. Jack Ruderman Director, Sustainable Energy Division Public Utilities Commission 21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301-2429

Re: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Fund Request For Proposals

Dear Mr. Ruderman:

Granite State Electric Company d/b/a National Grid, the New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc., Public Service Company of New Hampshire, and Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.(collectively, the Utilities) submit the enclosed Response to the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Fund (GHGERF) Request For Proposals. The Utilities' proposal builds on the successful CORE Programs that have been serving New Hampshire customers with energy efficiency services and products for the past seven years. In that time the Utilities have helped our customers save more than 6 billion kWhs, reduced GHG emissions by more than 3.8 million tons, and based upon today's prices, added more than \$1 billion to the New Hampshire economy.

As detailed herein, Utilities propose to expand funding for existing programs and to add numerous new program elements including: multi-family fuel neutral weatherization services, expanded financing alternatives, passive solar incentives, air conditioner and refrigerator recycling, and retro-commissioning for business customers. In advancing these new program elements, the Utilities have addressed opportunities identified in the Commission's recently completed energy savings potential study and included initiatives in all 11 categories eligible for funding through the GHGERF identified in PUC 2604.01(c).

Implementation of these expanded programs will build on the infrastructure developed through the CORE Programs and grow jobs in New Hampshire. The Utilities will work with their customers and the statewide network of contractors, Community Action Agencies, retailers, educators, and vendors who are supporting the CORE Programs. We will also continue to work with local officials, affinity groups and community energy committees to reach out to inform their members and to encourage them to implement energy saving measures.

Mr. Jack Ruderman Director, Sustainable Energy Division Public Utilities Commission March 20, 2009 Page 2

Should the Commission approve this proposal as submitted, the Utilities expect to reduce GHG emissions by more than 100,000 metric tons at a program cost of just over \$6 million. Other scenarios at reduced funding levels are also presented for your consideration. The Utilities would welcome an opportunity to discuss this proposal with you, address any questions you may have, and review any additional scenarios you may wish us to consider.

The Utilities would also like to discuss the question of whether a Commission Order approving new program elements and directing the Utilities to implement same is appropriate. The Utilities energy efficiency programs are approved through the CORE Programs proceedings; however, to the extent that the services described herein differ from or expand offerings under approved programs, Commission review and approval might be warranted. Of particular concern is the Utilities' proposal to expand financing alternatives as described more fully in Section 2.7 of this response.

We hope the Commission will act favorably upon this proposal, and we look forward to working with the Commission, Staff, and any interested Parties to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Sincerely,

Gilbert E. Gelineau, Jr.

Manager Marketing Support

cc: Jeremy Newberger, National Grid Carol Woods, NHEC Cindy Carroll, Unitil

New Hampshire CORE Utilities Response to Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Fund RFP

March 20, 2009

- 1.1. Program Title: RGGI Expansion CORE Energy Efficiency Programs (RE-CORE)
- 1.2. <u>Program Type</u>: *RE-CORE* is a portfolio of programs for residential, low-income, and business customers. It is based on the CORE Energy Efficiency Programs approved by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission in docket DE 08-120. *RE-CORE* proposes to expand both the funding and the program features available to customers through the CORE Programs. The specifics of the proposed expansions will be discussed in other sections of this Response. The suite of proposed programs includes initiatives in all 11 of the categories identified in PUC 2604.01 (c).
- 1.3. Program Summary: RE-CORE is based on the CORE Programs which include four residential programs, a low-income program, and three programs designed to assist businesses. The residential programs promote and incent lighting, appliances, new home construction, and fuel neutral weatherization of existing homes. All of these programs leverage the Energy Star brand and efficiency standards. The low-income program provides fuel neutral weatherization services and is a collaborative effort with the state's six Community Action Agencies. Support for New Hampshire businesses include two retrofit programs one tailored for small businesses and the other designed for large businesses. These programs provide technical assistance and financial incentives to install any cost-effective measure that will reduce electric usage in existing facilities. In addition, there is a companion program for businesses featuring technical and financial assistance for new equipment and construction.

RE-CORE builds on the foundation provided by the CORE Programs and proposes numerous new program elements including: multi-family fuel neutral weatherization services, expanded financing alternatives, passive solar incentives, air conditioner and refrigerator recycling, library-based residential usage monitors, and retro-commissioning for business customers. In advancing these new program elements, the Utilities have attempted to address opportunities identified in the Commission's recently completed energy savings potential study. In addition, the Utilities have reached out to the Home Builders and Remodelers Association of New Hampshire to propose a new Green Buildings program and to the Community Technical Colleges to develop a program to train contractors in state of the art weatherization techniques.

1.4. Low Income Residential Customer Qualification: While low income customers may avail themselves of any of the residential programs, the Home Energy Assistance (HEA) Program is targeted specifically for this customer segment. A total of 19% or \$1,183,647 of the RGGI program funds requested would be designated for the HEA

Program.

1.5. <u>Identification of Applicant Organizations</u>: This proposal is submitted by the four CORE Utilities on behalf of their customers and members:

Granite State Electric Company d/b/a National Grid 9 Lowell Road Salem, NH 03079 Domestic Corporation organized under

NH Law

Contact: Jeremy Newberger 781-907-1548

jeremy.newberger@us.ngrid.com

New Hampshire Electric Cooperative 579 Tenney Mountain Highway Plymouth, NH 03264-3147 Rural Electrical/Agricultural Cooperative organized under NH Law

Contact: Carol Woods 603-536-8627 woodsca@nhec.com

Public Service of New Hampshire 780 North Commercial Street Manchester, NH 03101

Domestic Corporation organized under

NH Law

Contact: Thomas Belair

603-634-2720 belaitr@psnh.com Unitil Energy Systems 6 Liberty Lane West Hampton, NH 03842

Domestic Corporation organized under

NH Law

Contact: Cindy Carroll 603-294-5120 carroll@unitil.com

Main Contact: Gilbert Gelineau, PSNH

gelinge@psnh.com

Phone: 603-634-2727

1.6. <u>Identification of Subcontractors and Partners</u>: The Utilities have well-established relationships with customers, state and local leaders, and a statewide network of contractors, Community Action Agencies, retailers, educators, vendors, affinity groups, and community energy committees. In addition, we have received letters of support for this proposal from the following partners:

Home Builders & Remodelers of NH 119 Airport Road Concord, NH 03301

Contact: Kendall Buck

(603) 228-0351

Community Action Association c/o Community Action Program Belknap-Merrimack Counties 2 Industrial Park Drive Concord, NH 03302-1016

Contact: Dana Nute (603) 225-3295

GDS Associates, Inc. 1181 Elm Street, Suite 205 Manchester, NH 03101 Contact: Scott Albert (603) 656-0336 KW Management, Inc. 55 Lake Street, Box #4 Nashua, NH 03060 Contact: Mark Weissflog (603) 598-0181 1.7. <u>Authorized Negotiator(s)</u>: The individuals identified in Section 1.5 are authorized to negotiate any and all aspects of the proposed grant with the State on behalf of their Company.

1.8 & 1.9. Projected Energy Savings and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions

Type	Annual	Cumulative/Lifetime	GHG Reductions
Electricity (MWH)	14,378	172,538	85,056
Nat Gas (MMBtus)	7,040	133,765	7,104
Oil (MMBtus)	7,402	140,630	10,294
Propane (MMBtus)	1,845	35,050	2,213
Total (Metric Tons)	N/A	N/A	104,666

- 1.10. <u>Length of Program</u>: The program will operate from approval (assumed to be April 1, 2009) through December 31, 2009. On average the measures installed through *RE-CORE* will continue to provide savings through 2024. In future RFPs, the Utilities expect to seek approval to extend the *RE-CORE* Programs beyond 2009 and to co-operate *RE-CORE* with the CORE Programs.
- 1.11. <u>Total Program Costs</u>: Assuming funding at the Requested level, total costs for this proposal are \$7,882,336 (includes programs, loan fund, and performance incentive).
- 1.12. GHGER Funds Requested: The Utilities are requesting \$7,882,336 from the GHGER Fund to implement the baseline proposal. This baseline proposal is scalable such that a minimum of \$4,729,402 and a maximum of \$7,882,336 of GHGER funds can be used cost-effectively. The minimum could be as low as \$1 if the expansion is restricted to existing CORE programs; specific details would need to be discussed.

2. Executive Summary

As noted above, *RE-CORE* builds on the existing CORE Programs by adding new program elements and by increasing the budgets for current programs. Because much of the infrastructure for administering and delivering the expanded programs is already in place, RGGI dollars will be used primarily for services and equipment to reduce energy use and CO₂ emissions. This section will summarize the proposed changes.

2.1. Energy Star Appliance Program: This program will be expanded to include a turn in/recycle component for room air conditioners and second refrigerators and freezers. The air conditioner program will offered through selected NH retailers. Customers who turn-in an old air conditioner will be given a discount coupon towards a new high efficiency Energy Star unit. The second refrigerator-freezer recycle program addresses two of the top energy saving measures identified in Additional Opportunities for Energy Efficiency in NH. Customers will be able to responsibly recycle their second refrigerator or freezer by notifying their utility to

arrange for pickup and removal.

- 2.2. Energy Star Homes Program: This program will be expanded to include an option to participate in the National Green Building Program developed by the National Association of Home Builders. The Home Builders & Remodelers Association of NH (HBRANH) will be partnering with the Utilities at the local level. The Utilities will provide accredited verifiers to inspect homes and report to the NAHB for certification of homes to the nationally recognized and ANSI approved Green Building Standard. The Standard calls for homes to be evaluated against criteria in seven categories: (1) lot design/development, (2) resource, (3) energy and (4) water efficiency, (5) indoor environmental quality, (6) operation, maintenance, and homeowner education, and (7) global impact.
- 2.3. Home Energy Solutions Program: [Note: In Order No. 24,930, the Commission ruled that the Utilities' fuel neutral home weatherization proposal was not ripe for approval, but that the Utilities may petition for reconsideration when they have a more fully developed proposal. The Utilities are currently circulating among the Parties and Staff to DE 08-120 a new fuel neutral proposal. The Utilities are seeking review of and concurrence with the proposal from the Parties and Staff; a fuel neutral filing will be made on or before April 1, 2009. The remainder of this section is contingent upon the Commission approving the fuel neutral proposal. Should the fuel neutral proposal not be approved, the remainder of this section and its corresponding budget line items should be disregarded.]

The HES Program will be expanded in two ways: the NHEC and National Grid will join PSNH and Unitil in offering fuel neutral weatherization services and the fuel neutral program will be broadened to include multi-family facilities larger than four units. The large multi-family weatherization services will include a comprehensive up-front facility audit, assistance with bid preparation and evaluation, and a post installation quality assurance inspection. Incentives for HVAC systems will be comparable to those offered under the New Equipment and Construction Program - the lesser of 75% of the incremental cost or the buy down to a one year payback. All other measures will be incented at 50% of the installed cost (e.g. lighting, weatherization, domestic hot water, etc.). Weatherization services for large multi-family facilities will be offered on a first come first served basis to customers who are positioned to invest in their facility and who have significant energy savings opportunities. Customers who receive natural gas service will be referred to their gas company's energy efficiency programs for all gas energy efficiency measures including weatherization. The customer's gas utility will be the preferred provider; however, the electric Utilities will provide these services if gas program funds are depleted and electric funds are available.

2.4. <u>Large Business Retrofit Program</u>: The utilities believe that expanded spending in this program provides the single largest and most cost-effective opportunity for savings. In addition to the traditional mix of energy saving measures –

lighting, process, and cooling – the Utilities recognize that the Commission's recently conducted potential study cited retro-commissioning as the number one opportunity for electric savings. The Utilities will be expanding their efforts to work with customers to identify and capitalize on retro-commissioning projects.

2.5. Education: The Utilities are proposing to expand educational training efforts in three areas: code training, Building Operator Certification (BOC), and BPI Building Analyst training course development. The code training proposal will add four full-day training sessions – two residential and two commercial – to an identical series of trainings being offered across the state as part of the CORE Programs. The BOC course is designed to enhance the skills of facility engineers and operators and will provide them with the training needed to address energy saving and retro-commissioning projects. The final training proposal is a partnership to develop a Building Performance Institute training program with the Manchester and Lakes Region Community Colleges. Once developed, the course syllabus and materials would be available to and used by both colleges to provide training which can lead to BPI certification.

In addition, two new self-directed educational components will be added. The first is modeled after similar regional programs (e.g. Kill-A-Watt™) and encourages customers to better understand their energy usage using a watthour monitor borrowed from their local library. The Utilities will partner with local libraries as well as the NH State Library to establish a distribution network for the monitors. The second component is a training video designed to show homeowners how they can save energy around the home.

- 2.6. Passive Solar Program: This program will provide financial incentives to promote the integration of passive solar technologies with heating, domestic hot water, and industrial process systems. Additional uses for solar thermal systems may be included in this program as the technology matures. The program is open to all customers of the CORE Utilities for residential, commercial, and industrial applications and will be available as a standalone program or can be combined with other programs to enhance the portfolio of available measures. In order to ensure quality, qualifying systems must be Solar Rating Certification Corporation (SRCC) rated. The incentive will fund 25% of qualified solar thermal systems up to the following incentive caps: residential customers \$1,500, small business customers \$4,500, and large business customers \$9,000.
- 2.7. Project Financing: The Utilities plan to offer financing options for customer co-payments associated with the installation of energy efficiency measures. Specifically, financing would be available to residential customers participating in the Home Energy Solutions Program as well as business customers participating in the Small and Large Business Retrofit Programs. The loans would be offered at no interest and funded through a revolving loan pool established by each utility. Customers will repay the loans through fixed monthly

payments on their electric bills, and as an option, customers would be able to choose to repay the loan more quickly. Loans would be capped at the customer co-payment.

The Public Utilities Commission regulates the Utilities with respect to the CORE Energy Efficiency Programs. To the extent that proposed uses of RGGI Funds exceed the authority previously granted to the utilities by the Commission to offer services, the utilities will seek approval of the service offerings. Within 30 days of the approval of RGGI funds, the Utilities will file their proposed tariff for loan services described herein.

While program changes are not being proposed for the remaining CORE Programs, the Utilities are proposing additional funding for Energy Star Lighting, Home Energy Assistance, Small Business Retrofit, Large Business Retrofit, and New Equipment & Construction. Of particular note is the funding request for the Home Energy Assistance program which accounts for more than 30% of this *RE-CORE* proposal. It should also be noted that additional funding has been requested for Utility Specific Programs. Please refer to the Budget section of this proposal for funding details.

3. Proposed Work Scope and Schedule: The Utilities have been implementing the CORE Programs and providing products and services tailored for business, residential and income eligible customers for the past seven years. Several of these programs have earned national recognition. Each year, the Utilities work together to review the CORE Programs, make adjustments and improvements as needed or suggested by customers, interested parties, and the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (NHPUC). RE-CORE proposes to add funding to this highly successful and cost effective program portfolio as well as to expand program offerings in certain areas.

3.1. Expansion of Existing Programs

As stated earlier, this proposal anticipates that the *RE-CORE* programs will start on April 1, 2009, and operate through December 31, 2009. The Utilities expect to propose further expansion of the programs in subsequent filings. Much of the infrastructure necessary to deliver the *RE-CORE* programs is already in place. The Utilities anticipate that where funding is requested to expand the budgets and goals for existing CORE programs that are approved and operating in 2009, this expansion will occur immediately upon program approval. The utilities will utilize the same marketing and delivery strategies for the *RE-CORE* programs as described in the 2009 CORE Program filing. In addition, the utilities plan to utilize the same partners and contractors for the expanded *RE-CORE* programs. New partners will be recruited and training will be provided as needed.

3.2. Implementation of New Programs

3.2.1. Second Refrigerator Recycle – This program will be introduced in late spring and will target residential customers. Marketing efforts will include direct marketing, media advertising, and website promotions (nhsaves.com

- and utility-specific). The Utilities will arrange pick-up and recycling of working refrigerators. Materials recycled will include glass, plastics, metals, refrigerants, and any additional hazardous materials. Documentation will satisfy the Federal Responsible Appliance Disposal reporting requirements.
- 3.2.2. Room Air Conditioner Turn In/Recycle This program will be introduced in late spring and will use a similar marketing approach as described for the Second Refrigerator recycling program. Utilities will sponsor turn in special events at select locations and will pay for recycling. Customers will not be required to purchase a new air conditioner at the time of turn in and will be given a special event rebate voucher for \$25 towards the purchase of a new Energy Star rated air conditioner. The Utilities will track the number of units recycled.
- 3.2.3. Green Building Home Certification The Utilities will be partnering with the Home Builders & Remodelers Association of NH to offer customers a new home that is not only energy efficient, but has been sited, designed, and constructed using techniques which save energy and minimize impacts on the environment. It will be offered on a standalone basis or in conjunction with the Energy Star Homes Program. The Utilities expect to certify 40 homes in 2009 starting in mid to late summer.
- 3.2.4. Fuel Neutral Weatherization Services The Utilities plan to file this program with the NHPUC by April 1, 2009, and will begin implementation upon Commission approval. The program targets residential customers living in single family homes or multi-family facilities (up to 4 units per building). Program design is based on the national Home Performance with Energy Star standard (HPwES). The Utilities will leverage HPwES marketing materials and will also utilize bill inserts, newsletters, the internet, and trade shows along with outreach to affinity and community groups. The Utilities will also work with trade allies to recruit participants through contractor marketing efforts and will collaborate with gas utilities and other strategic groups. The Utilities will utilize the delivery infrastructure currently in place for the CORE Programs and will recruit and train new contractors as needed. The Utilities will collaborate with regional utilities and other key market actors to leverage program marketing materials, training opportunities, and best practices.
- 3.2.5. Large Multi-Family Weatherization Services The Utilities plan to introduce this program in late summer. The program will be open to all multi-family facilities larger than four units with a priority given to those buildings where the occupants pay the electric and/or fossil fuel bills. The program will be marketed through direct outreach to property management companies and condominium associations, contractor marketing efforts, and collaboration with gas utilities and other strategic groups. The Utilities will deliver multi-family services through the infrastructure currently in place for the CORE Programs and will recruit and train new contractors as needed. The Utilities will collaborate with regional utilities and other key market actors to leverage program marketing materials, training opportunities, and best practices.

- 3.2.6. Passive Solar Program This program will be offered upon approval of this proposal and targets all residential, commercial, and industrial customers. Program marketing will be via newsletters, website promotions (nhsaves.com and utility-specific), tradeshows, and direct outreach to customers and trade allies. Program services will be delivered using existing trained solar hot water system installers to ensure that certified equipment is installed in compliance with local building, electric and plumbing codes.
- 3.2.7. Project Financing The utilities plan to offer on-bill financing for customer co-payments associated with the installation of energy efficiency measures. Within 30 days of approval of this proposal, Utilities plan to file with the Commission a tariff for the loan services to be offered in conjunction with the RE-CORE Programs. The Utilities plan to implement the new financing options within 30 days of Commission approval.

3.3. Management and Oversight

The Management Team, which consists of a representative from each utility, will be responsible for the coordination and oversight of statewide activities, early recognition of problems in program delivery, communication among the NH Utilities of any problems, identification of corrective actions, and reporting through quarterly status meetings with the Staff and interested parties. The CORE Utilities, Commission Staff, and other interested parties have spent considerable time and effort setting up uniform program administration and reporting protocols, as well as joint marketing and coordinated monitoring and evaluation for all eight of the CORE Programs. In addition, systems are in place to track energy savings, program expenses, and customer participation.

The Utilities provide independent oversight and Quality Assurance inspections to ensure program implementers comply with program requirements and produce quality workmanship. Varying levels of oversight are used. For example, the work of experienced program implementers with a proven track record will be randomly spot checked, whereas implementation personnel and vendors new to a program will undergo a much higher level of scrutiny.

Cost-control measures are in place in the proposed performance incentive mechanism. Programs which are inefficiently managed and administered will fail to meet cost-effectiveness and energy savings goals. This not only reflects poorly on the program's management, but it also has financial consequences inasmuch as cost-effectiveness and savings are the primary determinants of the performance incentive (see Section 6 below).

To maximize efficiency and to leverage what is already in place, the Utilities plan to merge the *RE-CORE* programs with the existing approved CORE programs and utilize the existing protocols for management and reporting purposes. Consolidated reports along with individual utility results will enable the Commission Staff and other interested parties to assess overall progress as well

- as be able to judge each utility's performance relative to program performance goals that are clear and measurable.
- 4. Project Benefits: The table on page 11 lists the benefits for each program. All modeling was done with the Utilities' Benefit to Cost model consistent with the CORE Programs filing. Each benefit category is described as follows:
 - 4.1. <u>GHG Reductions</u> are reported for each program over the expected lifetime of the program energy savings. The projected total reduction in carbon emissions is 104,666 metric tons. Attachment A summarizes the energy savings which drive these emissions reductions based on the Commission's conversion factors.
 - 4.2. TRC Net Benefit quantifies the economic value of the project in terms of the difference between the present value of the energy and capacity savings over the useful life of the efficiency investments and the total project cost, including customer contributions. The present value of the energy savings includes the avoided cost of electric and fossil energy consumption. The present value of the capacity savings includes the avoided cost of investment in electric generation, transmission and distribution capacity. The projected net economic benefit is \$7,581,773.
 - 4.3. <u>Summer Peak</u> is the expected reduction in Summer Peak demand from program efficiency investments. The calculation of the demand reduction value employs end-use specific factors that represent the relationship between coincident peak load and annual energy consumption for each measure category. The projected demand reduction is 2,696 kW.
 - 4.4. Market Transformation refers to the benefits of an energy efficiency program that are realized in terms of a sustained effect on market demand or supply of efficient equipment. Programs provide information, technical assistance and financial incentives to consumers, retailers and trade allies to reduce market barriers to the adoption of efficient products and practices. As program participants and product vendors become familiar with efficient products they will be more likely to purchase and stock these products when program incentives are reduced or discontinued. New construction programs produce a similar benefit in design and construction practices that facilitate their adoption into building codes.
 - 4.5. <u>Innovative Technologies</u> include solar domestic hot water systems, geothermal heat pumps, Green Building construction and Retro-commissioning improvements. The *RE-CORE* programs generally provide for the introduction and promotion of new efficient technologies as they become commercially available.
 - 4.6. Economic Development benefits result from the reduction in household and business energy expenses and from business expansion opportunities for

retailers and other trade allies who serve the increased demand for efficient products and services. It is difficult to quantify job creation and retention, but the net economic benefits reported above indicate the magnitude of the increase in net income that can only serve to improve the financial condition of families and businesses in New Hampshire.

- 4.7. Energy Cost Savings represent the majority of the economic benefit of the project. The electric and fossil energy savings, reported in Attachment A, are also reflected in the GHG reductions reported in the table.
- 4.8. <u>Collaboration and Information</u> for future evaluation and program enhancement are provided by program tracking data and program evaluation studies. The programs promote collaboration with a number of parties, including Community Action Agencies, municipalities, educational institutions, the Homebuilders Association, various trade allies and others.
- 4.9. Other Benefits are described in the notes following the table on page 11.

Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative Benefits Summary									
	GHG	TRC Net Benefit	Summer Peak	Market Transf.	Innov. Tech.	Econ. Dev.	Energy Cost Sav.	Collab. & Info.	Other Benefits
Program	(tons)	(\$000)	(kW)	3	3	3	3	5	(Notes)
CORE EE Programs (additional funds)									
Energy Star Lighting	5,119.43	\$514	70.89	>	>	>	>	>	
Energy Star Appliances / Products (Room AC Turn In, Refrigerator Picku	1,341.65	\$58	77.05	>	>	>	>	>	
Energy Star Homes (Green Building Certification)	2,536.88	\$166	6.07	>	>	>	>	>	2
HES (Fuel Neutral Multi-Family Weatherization)	9,650.84	\$273	40.02	>	>	>	>	>	
HEA (approximate 50% increase in homes/units for each utility)	7,530.85	\$22	71.24	>	>	>	>	>	က
Small Business Retrofit	8,433.94	\$1,010	267.72	>	>	>	>	>	
Large Business Retrofit (Additional Projects, Retro-commissioning)	48,855.11	\$5,849	1,652.92	>	>	>	`>	>	-
New Equipment & Construction	4,323.25	\$546	165.64	>	>	>	>	>	
Education (Energy Code Training, BOC 2, Tech College BPI Training)	0.00	-\$157	0.00	>	>		>	>	
Utilly Specific Programs (additional funding)									
Energy Rewards RFP	6,288.50	\$649	283.15		>	>	>	>	
High Efficiency Heat Pump Program	0.00	\$0	0.00	>	>	>	>	>	
Smart Start Program	0.00	%	0.00				`>	>	4
ESHomes Geothermal	1,567.01	\$65	3.60	>	>	>	>	>	
EE Website & Home Energy Audit	0.00	\$0	0.00	>			>	>	
New RGGI Funded Programs									
Solar Hot Water: Residential	4,921.39	-\$894	21.52	>	>	>	>	>	2
Solar Hot Water: Small & Large Business	4,097.47	-\$521	36.64	>	>	>	>	>	5
TOTAL	104,666.30	\$7,582	2,696.47						

NOTES:

Recycling of materials and CFC capture.
 Green certification reduces material disposal costs and environmental impact.
 Reduces energy burden and increases health and safety of home environment.
 Reduces capital cost barrier to investment.
 Promotes renewable resource.

5. <u>Measurement and Verification</u>: The objective of program evaluation is to assess program delivery and to determine the ability of a program to meet the stated goals. Process evaluations assess program delivery in order to identify what worked and what did not during implementation. Impact evaluations focus on program results to quantify energy savings, demand reductions, and avoided air emissions.

Results of impact evaluations include:

Estimates	of	gross	savings
		3	

☐ Estimates of net savings

☐ Estimates of CO₂/GHG reductions

Savings are determined indirectly by comparing energy use and demand after the program has been implemented with what would have occurred had the program not been implemented (baseline). Verification of savings is not only used to document results from current program efforts, but can also be used to help inform future estimates of savings and benefits.

Avoided air emissions are determined by comparing emissions under a baseline scenario to the situation after the program is implemented using the emission factor approach. The basic approach is to multiply the program's net energy savings by an emission factor (e.g. lbs of CO2 per MWh). The basic equation for this approach is:

Avoided emissions = (net energy savings) x (emission factor)

The process for evaluating program performance will include:

- 1. Defining the evaluation objectives in order to determine what information is needed (define the scope) and the value of the information received.
- 2. Selecting an approach, defining baseline scenarios, and preparing a plan.
- 3. Comparing energy usage before and after program implementation.
- 4. Reporting results and incorporating recommendations into future program implementation.

RE-CORE is primarily an expansion of existing CORE Programs. The Utilities propose to leverage existing evaluation efforts by piggy-backing on CORE Program M&V studies in cases where program elements overlap. Evaluation of existing programs will be planned concurrently with CORE Program studies and carried out through oversampling as needed. The *RE-CORE* M&V budgets would cover any incremental costs.

During 2009 the Utilities plan to collect needed data and lay the groundwork for M&V studies on new program elements; however, the studies would not be conducted before the program has been in operation for a minimum of 12 months. The Utilities propose the following studies:

□ Passive Solar Program – impact evaluation to assess system performance, maintenance, energy savings and cost-effectiveness.

HES Program - impact and process evaluations of the multifamily fuel-neutral
expansion component to assess fuel savings, cost-effectiveness, quality of
installations, and customer satisfaction.

Retro-commissioning - impact evaluation to determine persistence of savings, measure implementation, and on-going system maintenance.

Avoided air emissions will be calculated for all programs with energy savings.

6. Budget

6.1. Consolidated Budget Worksheets (Attachments B1 – B3)

Attachments B1 through B3 reflect the consolidated budgets of the Utilities at the Requested, Minimum, and Maximum levels. These worksheets were modeled after those provided by the Commission on their website and modified to best display the funding requirements associated with this proposal.

6.2. Budget Details - By Utility (Attachment C)

Attachment C is a worksheet developed by the Utilities. It breaks down the budget for each program by Utility.

6.3. Benefit to Cost Analyses (Attachment D)

The benefit to cost analyses are summarized in Attachment D. Using the Utilities' Total Resource Cost (TRC) model, it depicts for each program the B/C, the TRC Benefits and Costs, the Utilities' Cost, Customer Cost, and the Number of Participants.

6.4. Performance Incentive

The Utilities have included in their budget a performance incentive similar to the one used in the CORE Programs. The incentive ranges between 0% and 12% of the budget. The budget worksheets were developed assuming an 8% performance incentive. The Utilities would earn an 8% incentive if actual GHG reductions and cost-effectiveness were exactly the same as forecasted in this proposal. The performance incentive is at risk and only awarded from the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Fund after demonstrating satisfactory performance in GHG reductions and cost-effectiveness in accordance with the formula explained below.

There are two proposed changes to the basic formula in order to more closely align the performance incentive with RGGI. The first change is to replace the kWh savings with CO₂ savings – to emphasize that the primary goal of RGGI is the reduction of greenhouse gases. The second change would be to include all of the *RE-CORE* Programs in the incentive calculation as opposed to developing separate residential and commercial/industrial incentives as is done for the CORE Programs. This change reflects the fact that the RGGI dollars are the result of allowance auctions and not closely linked to particular customer segments; furthermore, several of the *RE-CORE* Programs are offered to both residential and commercial/industrial customers.

Incorporating these changes, the basic formula becomes:

INCENTIVE = $[4\% \times BUDGET] \times [(BC_{ACT}/BC_{PRE}) + (CO_{2ACT}/CO_{2PRE})]$

Where:

INCENTIVE - Performance incentive in dollars

BUDGET - Total dollars budgeted less the performance incentive

BC_{ACT} - Actual Benefit-to-Cost ratio achieved

BC_{PRE} - Predicted Benefit-to-Cost ratio

CO_{2ACT} - Actual Lifetime CO₂ reductions achieved

CO_{2PRE} - Predicted Lifetime CO₂ reductions savings

This formula would be used to calculate the incentive for each utility based on its performance; the overall *RE-CORE* performance incentive would be the sum of the individual utility incentives.

- 6.5. Performance Goals (Attachment E)
 Attachment E states the performance goals for each Utility. These performance goals assume funding at the Requested level.
- 7. Applicant Qualifications: The applicants have been delivering energy efficiency programs to New Hampshire customers for more that 15 years. More importantly, since 2002 the Utilities have collaborated to deliver a uniform suite of CORE Energy Efficiency Programs to residential, low-income and business customers throughout the state. These programs are regulated by the Commission and have been reviewed and approved annually. On a quarterly basis, the Commission Staff along with any interested parties review the Utilities' progress in an open public forum. In addition, since the introduction of the CORE Programs, third-party experts have conducted no fewer than 130 process and impact evaluations of the Programs¹ to ensure that procedures are followed and that results can be verified.

In total nearly 450,000 customers have saved more than 6 billion kWhs and reduced CO_2 emissions by 3.8 million tons. Each year the Utilities have met or exceeded their energy savings goals while keeping their costs within budget. In summary, the applicants have a proven track record that has been continually reviewed and approved by regulators and interested parties and scrutinized by third-party experts. The Utilities believe they are uniquely positioned to cost-effectively deliver programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in New Hampshire.

The key personnel from each utility who are responsible for the commitments made in this Response are identified in Section 1.5. The applicants have no criminal violations within the past five years to report.

A list of these studies may be found at: http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/Monitoring_Evaluation_Report_List.htm

RGGI Expansion CORE Energy Efficiency Programs

Attachments

March 20, 2009

A. GHG reductions

2/23/09 RFP Proposed Budget Worksheet NH PUC Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Fund

DEFAULT VALUES FOR ESTIMATING GHG EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS BASED ON ENERGY SAVINGS

Program Title:

Applicant Name:

RGGI Expansion - NH CORE Energy Efficiency Program Expansion

NationalGrid, NHEC, PSNH, Unitil

Reductions in Metric Tons Estimated CO₂ Emission 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Reductions in pounds (lbs.) Method # 1, using MWH (Megawatt Hours), Cubic Feet (natural gas), & Gallons of fuel CO2 Emission Factors Estimated CO2 Emission 120.6 1,087 22.4 21.5 12.8 26 in Ibs/unit Cubic Feet Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons Gallons MWH Units Enter Reductions in Units shown in next Column Distillate Fuel Oil (#1, 2 & 4) Residual Fuel Oil (#5 & 6) Reductions from Vatural Gas Electricity Kerosene Propane FOTAL

	Metho	d # 2, using	Method # 2, using MWH & MMBtu (million Btus)	Btus)	
Reductions from	Enter Reductions in Units	Units	CO ₂ Emission Factors	CO ₂ Emission Factors Estimated CO ₂ Emission	Estimated CO ₂ Emission
	shown in next Column		in Ibs/unit	Reductions in pounds (lbs.) Reductions in Metric Tons	Reductions in Metric Tons
Electricity	172,537.833	MWH	1,087	187,548,625	85.056.07
Natural Gas	133,765	MMBtu	117.1	15,663,917	7.103.82
Distillate Fuel Oil (#1, 2 & 4)	140,630	MMBtu	161.4	22.697.636	10,293.71
Residual Fuel Oil (#5 & 6)	0	MMBtu	173	0	000
Kerosene	0	MMBtu	159.5	0	00 0
LPG	0	MMBtu	139	0	00.0
Propane	35,050	MMBtu	139.2	4,879,012	2.212.70
TOTAL					104 666 30

Electric Loss Factor = 1.08

B1. Budget (Requested)

\$60.000 2009 2009 \$60.300 \$60.300 \$127.818 \$0 \$154.033 \$154.033 \$308,066 \$0 \$172.622 \$112.692 \$1225.384 \$0 \$154.033 \$154.033 \$308,066 \$0 \$147.842 \$10.21,616 \$0 \$591,823 \$591,823 \$1.021,616 \$0 \$591,823 \$591,823 \$1.021,616 \$0 \$591,823 \$591,823 \$1.033,876 \$0 \$591,630 \$501,630 \$10.01,616 \$0 \$147.842 \$147.842 \$235,685 \$0 \$591,630 \$501,630 \$10.01,616 \$0 \$147.842 \$147.842 \$235,685 \$0 \$591,630 \$501,630 \$10.01,616 \$0 \$510,60 \$501,73 \$10.01,616 \$0 \$510,60 \$510,60 \$157,600 \$157,600 \$0 \$5228,049 \$525,049 \$4456,099 \$0 \$2,735,751 \$2,735,751 \$5,471,502 \$0 \$2,735,751 \$2,735,751 \$5,471,502 \$0 \$2,735,751 \$2,735,751 \$5,471,502 \$0 \$2009 \$0 \$2,735,751 \$2,735,751 \$5,471,502 \$0 \$50 \$53,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$6,157,336 \$0 \$50 \$14,419 \$5,814,419 \$11,628,838 \$0 \$53,078,668 \$5,814,419 \$11,628,838 \$0 \$53,078,668 \$5,814,419 \$11,628,838 \$0 \$53,978,668 \$5,814,419 \$11,628,838	Program Title:	ά.	iol Expan	Fair RGGI Expansion - NH Cr		12/23/09 KFP Proposed Budget Worksheet	d Budget wo	rksheet	REQUE	STED AM	OUNTS FO	OR TARG	REQUESTED AMOUNTS FOR TARGETED PROGRAM SIZE	RAM SIZE
COC COC COC COC COC COC COC Secretary Coc COC COC COC Secretary Coc COC COC COC Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary Secretary	Applicant Name:		-	National	CHIN PAR									
COUNTY C	The state of the s					5	5-1							15.5
Column					2009						2010			2011
\$00 \$00 \$17,202 \$17,202 \$20 \$20 \$0 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$25,534 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$0 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$25,534 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$0 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$0 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$1 \$2 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$0 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$11,202 \$1 \$2 <th< td=""><td>OSE OF TOINDS</td><td>April</td><td>Мау</td><td>June</td><td>02</td><td>Q3</td><td>Q 4</td><td>Total CY09</td><td>Ą</td><td>Q2</td><td>03</td><td>04</td><td>Total CY10</td><td>Total CV11</td></th<>	OSE OF TOINDS	April	Мау	June	02	Q3	Q 4	Total CY09	Ą	Q2	03	04	Total CY10	Total CV11
Sec	ENTENDED FNEDOV STAD Lighting													
\$ \$10.000 \$1.000	ENERGY STAN LIGHTING				٠				\$1	\$2	\$3	\$4	\$10	
\$ 50 \$154,003 \$154,003 \$1021,616 \$	ENERGY STAP HOMES				ў									
\$0 \$550,000 \$100,1616 \$100,1616 \$0 \$550,000 \$560,000 \$11,000,000 \$11,0	LINERAL DI AR HOLLES				₹								O\$	
\$0 \$147,842 \$14,182,647 \$14,182,647 \$147,842 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844 \$147,844	Home Energy Solutions				ĕ								0\$	
\$60 \$147,042 \$147,042 \$209,686 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,003,076 \$10,000 \$10	nome Energy Assistance	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			ĕ								G G	
\$60 \$5846.938 \$5446.938 \$1,090.876	Small Business Energy Services				ě								9 6	
\$10.000 \$1.700 \$10.000 \$1.0000 \$1.0000 \$1.0000 \$1.0000 \$1.0000 \$1.0000 \$1.0000 \$1.0000	Large Business Retrofit				₩			69					000	
\$60 \$800.056 \$800.056 \$7150.00	New Equipment & Construction				\$								2	
\$157,500 \$157,500 \$150,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$250,000 \$256,000 \$256,000 \$256,0	Education				38		ĺ						80	
\$ \$256,236 \$ \$366,236 \$ \$736,471 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Energy Rewards RFP						ľ						\$0	
\$25.000 \$25.000 \$0.000	High Efficiency Heat Pump Pom					300°, 10° 4	0,00					-		
\$50 \$228,049 \$228,049 \$250,000	Smart Start Program					76								
\$5368.236 \$368.236 \$736.471	FNFRGY STAR Homes - Geo					3								
\$358.256 0.49 \$528.049 \$228.049 \$456.099 \$50 \$50.078,688 \$50.078,688 \$50.078,688 \$50.078,688 \$50.078,688 \$50.078,688 \$50.078,689 \$50.078,689 \$50.078,689 \$50.078,689 \$50.078,689 \$50.078,689 \$50.078,689 \$50.078,689 \$50.078,699 \$	FE Website & Home Energy Angit					\$25,000								
\$50 \$228,049 \$228,049 \$3456,099 \$1725,000 \$172	Solar Lot Motor (Dee Criefly Audit	100				30		\$0						
\$0 \$228.049 \$228.049 \$4456.089 \$1 \$5.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.078,668 \$3.041,168	Solar Hot Water (Kes, Small/Large	e (&)				\$368,236		\$736,471						
\$6 \$228,049 \$228,049 \$456,099 \$1 \$2 \$3,078,668 \$5,157,336 \$1 \$2 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$4,147,9 \$1,1628,838 \$50 \$50 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$4														
\$0 \$3,078,668 \$6,157,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$0 \$3,078,668 \$6,157,336 \$6,157,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$0 \$2,3941,168 \$7,882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$0 \$2,735,761 \$2,735,761 \$5,471,502 \$0 <td>Performance Incentive @ 8%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>08</td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>\$148,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Performance Incentive @ 8%				08			\$148,000						
\$862.500	TOTAL EXPENSES	Ç				è		00000				-	0,0	
\$ \$862,500 \$ \$1,725,000 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		9			5		1	\$6,157,336	\$1	\$2	\$3	\$4	\$10	\$0
\$862,500 \$862,500 \$1,725,000 \$1 \$0 \$1,725,000 \$2 \$3 \$4 Total \$0.009 \$3,941,168 \$7,882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$0.009 \$0.000 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$4 \$0.000	Capital Invested in Building													
\$862,500 \$87,725,000 \$1,725,000 \$1,725,000 \$0 \$3,941,168 \$7,882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$0 \$2,735,751 \$2,735,751 \$5,471,502 \$0	Improvements		,					C#					Ç	
\$0 \$1,941,168 \$2,941,168 \$7,882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 2009 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total \$0 \$2,735,751 \$2,735,751 \$5,471,502 \$0 </td <td>Funds used for Loan Fund capital</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$862.500</td> <td></td> <td>\$1 725 000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>00</td> <td></td>	Funds used for Loan Fund capital					\$862.500		\$1 725 000					00	
\$0 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 2009 \$2,009 \$1,941,168 \$7,882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 \$0 \$2,735,751 \$2,735,751 \$5,471,502 \$0 </td <td>Loan Fund credit enhancement (such</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>000(03)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0\$</td> <td>***</td>	Loan Fund credit enhancement (such				-			000(03)					0\$	***
\$0 \$3,941,168 \$7,882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 2009 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 \$0	as interest rate buy-down)							O\$					é	
2009 2010 42 2010 42 2010 42 42 42 42 42 42 43 44 70tal 42 43 44 70tal 44 70tal 45 46 45 46 <td>TOTAL USE OF FUNDS</td> <td>0\$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>\$7.882.336</td> <td>7</td> <td>43</td> <td>63</td> <td>76</td> <td>940</td> <td></td>	TOTAL USE OF FUNDS	0\$					_	\$7.882.336	7	43	63	76	940	
Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total \$0	and the second s				2009	l				24	2010	÷	9	2011
\$0 \$2,735,751 \$2,735,751 \$5,471,502 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	SOURCES OF FUNDS	April	May	June	02	Q3	04	Total CY09	5	00	2 60	20	Total CV40	Toto! 0.744
\$0 \$0<	Applicant Cash Contribution				\$			U\$			3	<u> </u>	5	10tal C 11
\$0 \$2,735,751 \$2,735,751 \$5,471,502 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Applicant In-kind Contribution				\$0			9					00	
\$0 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$6,157,336 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Program Participant Contribution				0\$		\$2 735 751	\$5 474 E02					00	
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,078,668 \$2,078,668 \$6,157,336 \$0 \$5,814,419 \$11,628,838 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Loans & Other Financing		The state of the s		O.S.		1011001100	40,111,00					03	
\$0 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$6,157,336 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Forward Capacity Market Payments				\$0			00					200	
\$0 \$3,078,668 \$3,078,668 \$6,157,336 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Other Grants				G G			2					00	
\$0 \$5,814,419 \$5,814,419 \$11,628,838 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	GHGER Fund (this proposal)				6			00					\$0	
\$0 \$5,814,419 \$5,814,419 \$11,628,838 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	TOTAL COLODIO OF PLANE					ı		\$6,157,336					\$0	
%85	TOTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS	\$0	8				[\$11,628,838	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	0\$	\$
923%	777777													
	GHGER Funds as a % of TOTAL							23%					i0/AIQ#	#DIV/0i
INDED TO LONGE ALGORD IN JOSE	Note: for General Ownshood 9 Droft													
Trace: or defined a vivernead & Front, please indicate to what extent any amounts are proposed to be contingent on program performance.	INDIC. 101 CEITEI OVERITERU & FIVIII, PIERSE HIUL	cate to what exit	ent any arrio	unts are propos	ed to be contin	gent on progra	m performance			!				

RGGI GHGER Fund 2-23-09 RFP Budget Worksheet, RGGI Expansion - CORE NH EE Program.xls

-
`=`
Ξ
=
Ξ
=
₽.
~
ے.
\sim
7
50
Ð.
ď
Sud
Bud
ž
ž

Program Title: ROSS Expansion - NH CORE Energy Efficiency Program Enginement	Applicant Name: USE OF FUNDS EXPENSES EXPENSES ENERGY STAR Lighting ENERGY STAR Appliances ENERGY STAR Homes Home Energy Solutions Home Energy Solutions Home Energy Assistance Small Business Retrofit New Equipment & Construction	Expansion		Energy E	fliciency Pro	gram Expai	nsion		MINIM	UM FEASIE	LE PRO	GRAM SIZE	
Mailtoning Mai	APril AR Lighting AR Appliances AR Appliances AR Homes I AR Homes I Y Solutions I Y Assistance Ass Energy Services ass Retrofit ent & Construction	May	NationalGrid	. C	CALL LINE							-	
Figure Part May June COO CO CO CO CO CO CO	AR Lighting AR Appliances AR Appliances IAR Homes IYAR Homes IYAR Solutions IYAR Sistance IYAR Sistance IYAR Sistance IYAR Sistance IYAR Sistance IYAR Sistance IYAR Appliance IYAR Appliance IYAR Appliance IYAR Appliance	May		, NTEC, T	UNIO, CINE								
Appril A	April AR Lighting FAR Appliances TAR Homes y Solutions y Assistance see Energy Services eas Retrofit ent & Construction	May		2009						2010			2011
The control of the	EXPENSES ENERGY STAR Lighting ENERGY STAR Appliances ENERGY STAR Homes Home Energy Solutions Home Energy Assistance Small Business Energy Services Large Business Retrofit New Equipment & Construction	22.	June	Ω2	Q3	Q4	Total CY09	Q	02	03	94	Total CY10	Total CY11
May	ENERGY STAR Lighting ENERGY STAR Appliances ENERGY STAR Homes Home Energy Solutions Home Energy Assistance Small Business Energy Services Large Business Retrofit New Equipment & Construction				20%	20%							
100 100	ENERGY STAR Appliances ENERGY STAR Homes Home Energy Solutions Home Energy Assistance Small Business Energy Services Large Business Retrofit New Equipment & Construction			\$0	\$38,345	\$38,345	\$76,691	\$1	\$2		\$4	\$10	
150 150	Home Energy Solutions Home Energy Assistance Small Business Energy Services Large Business Retrofit New Equipment & Construction		-	\$0	\$67,615	\$67,615	\$135,230					\$0	
100 100	Home Energy Solutions Home Energy Assistance Small Business Energy Services Large Business Retrofit New Equipment & Construction			\$0	\$92,420	\$92,420	\$184,840					\$0	
Mathematical Control of Math	Home Energy Assistance Small Business Energy Services Large Business Retrofit New Equipment & Construction			0\$	\$306,485	\$306,485	\$612,970					\$0	
No. Services State	Small Business Energy Services Large Business Retrofit New Equipment & Construction			\$0	\$355,094	\$355,094	\$710,188					\$0	
Figure F	Large Business Retrofit New Equipment & Construction			\$0	\$88,705	\$88,705	\$177,411					0\$	
Secondary Seco	New Equipment & Construction			\$0	\$328,163	\$328,163	\$656,325					OS.	
See -Geo			·	\$0	\$55,067	\$55,067	\$110,134					\$	
See - Good See	Education			\$0	\$48,035	\$48,035	\$96,071					Ç.	
Ummp Pgm \$10 \$1	Energy Rewards RFP				\$94,500	\$94,500	\$189,000						
Sea - Geo Seb - Geo \$15,000 \$15,000 \$10,000	High Efficiency Heat Pump Pgm				\$0	\$0	\$0						
See Geo	Smart Start Program				\$0	80	90						
Samplify Large C&L)	ENERGY STAR Homes - Geo				\$15,000	\$15,000	\$30,000				-		
Small/Large C&II	EE Website & Home Energy Audit				\$0	\$0	0\$						
60/08% \$136,830 <	Solar Hot Water (Res, Small/Large C&I)				\$220,941	\$220,941	\$441,883		a chosto				
6 @ 8% \$0 <th< td=""><td>The state of the s</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	The state of the s						\$0						
(iii) g \$0 \$0 \$136,6830 \$136,6830 \$273,6630							0\$						
e @ 8% s0 \$136.630 \$136.630 \$273.669 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 ing \$0 \$0 \$136.630 \$136.630 \$273.669 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 ing \$0 \$0 \$1,847.201 \$1,847.201 \$3.694.402 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 ing \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,847.201 \$1,847.201 \$3.694.701 \$3.694.701 \$3.694.701 \$3.694.701 \$4.729.402 \$1 \$2<							\$0						
Fig. 8% Fig. 8	The state of the s												
10g 50 50 50 51,847,201 53,694,402 51,635,000 51,847,201 53,694,402 51,635,000 51,035,0	Performance Incentive @ 8%			\$0	\$136,830	\$136,830	\$273,659				A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	90	
April May June So So So So So So So S		Q	\$0	\$0	\$1,847,201	\$1,847,201	\$3,694,402	\$1	\$2		\$4	\$10	\$0
April May June So So So So So So So S	Capital Invested in Building											11-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	
April	Improvements						Ç					é	
Sometri (such solution) \$0 \$0 \$2,364,701 \$2,364,701 \$4,729,402 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$8 4 April May June Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY19 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY19 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY19 Q3 Q3 Q4 Total CY19 Q3 Q3 Q4 Total CY19 Q3 Q4 Total CY19 Q4 Total CY19 Q4 Total CY19 Q3 Q4 Total CY19 Q4 Total C	Funds used for Loan Fund capital				\$517.500	\$517.500	\$1 035 000					9 6	
Sol	Loan Fund credit enhancement (such											9	
April May June Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY10 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q	as interest rate buy-down)						\$0					\$0	
2009 2010 April May June Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 utition \$0		\$0	\$0	0\$	\$2,364,701	\$2,364,701	\$4,729,402	\$1	\$2	\$3	\$4	\$10	\$0
April May June Q2 Q3 Total CY09 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY09 ution \$0 <td< td=""><td>The state of the s</td><td></td><td></td><td>2009</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2010</td><td></td><td></td><td>2011</td></td<>	The state of the s			2009						2010			2011
tion \$0 \$	April	May	June	02	O3		Total CY09		Q2	Q 3	40	Total CY10	Total CY11
utribution \$0	Applicant Cash Contribution			\$0			0\$						
tribution \$0	Applicant In-kind Contribution			\$0			\$0					\$0	
Solution	Program Participant Contribution			\$0			\$0					\$0	
posal) \$0 <th< td=""><td>Loans & Other Financing</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$0</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$0</td><td></td></th<>	Loans & Other Financing			\$0			\$0					\$0	
SOS SO SO SO SO SO SO S	Forward Capacity Market Payments			\$0			\$0					\$0	
MDS	Other Grants			\$0			\$0					\$0	
10/AIG# 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ 0\$ SGN	GHGER Fund (this proposal)			\$0			\$0					\$0	
i0/AIG# i0/AIG#		\$0	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	0\$	0\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
i0/A)IC#													
	GHGER Funds as a % of TOTAL						#DIV/0i					#DIV/0i	#DIV/0i

	_	_
•	2	ì
	ē	3
	Ė	ŧ
	Š	ž
,	2	۲.
١	_	ز
	1	<i>ز</i>
•	1001	1091
•	ATTOCK!	2000
,	1000 CITY	97
	1 4 A L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	2000

Program Title	RGGI Expansion - NH CO	#EC, PSNH, Unitil 1009 2	A Total (159	MUM FEASI 2010 03 03	SA S	\$RAM SIZE \$10 \$10 \$10 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$0	2011 Total CY11
2009 2004 100 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2000 2001 2000 2001 2000 2001 2000 2001 2000 2	TAR Lighting TAR Appliances TAR Appliances TAR Homes 3y Solutions 3y Assistance ess Energy Services ess Retrofit nent & Construction ands RFP coy Heat Pump Pgm Program TAR Homes - Geo & Home Energy Audit ater (Res, Small/Large C&I) elincentive @ 8% VSES d in Building elincentive @ 8% diff enhancement (such	#EC, PSNH, Unitil 2009 2	\$63,909 \$11 \$63,909 \$11 \$112,692 \$2 \$112,692 \$2 \$154,033 \$3 \$510,808 \$1,0 \$541,823 \$1,1 \$546,938 \$1,0 \$546,938 \$1,0 \$55,000 \$3 \$25,000 \$3 \$25,000 \$3		15 00	2010 Q3	200	\$10 CY10 \$10 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$5	2011 Total CY11
2009 C3 C3 C3 C4 Total CV09 C3 C4 Total CV09 C3 C4 Total CV19 C3 C3 C4 Total CV19 C3 C3 C4 Total CV19 C3 C3 C3 C4 Total CV19 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C	TAR Lighting April May June TAR Appliances 17AR Homes	09 99 99 99 99	101		00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Q33	28	\$10 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	2011 Total CY11
COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC COC	TAR Lighting April May June TAR Lighting IAR Appliances IAR Homes IAR Homes<	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			00 25	8	25	\$10 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Total CY11
Section Sect	Appliances Appliances Intions Intions Sistance Inergy Services Setrofit & Construction RFP aat Pump Pgm am Am Am Energy Audit Res, Small/Large C&I) Arive @ 8% Suliding Suliding Suliding Fund capital hancement (such		(in in in in in in in in		5-		75	\$10 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Appliances Appliances Appliances Appliances Appliance		(c) (c) (d)	27,818 25,384 08,066 21,616 21,616 25,685 23,876 60,118 50 50,000 50,000			\$	\$10 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Sept. 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	Appliances Homes Homes Houtions Sistance Inergy Services REP Set Construction A Construction REP Set Pump Pgm an Homes - Geo And the Energy Audit Res, Small/Large C&I) Soliding Suliding Fund capital Hancement (such		(A) (A) (A)	25,384 08,066 21,616 83,647 85,685 83,557 60,118 50 50,000 50,000				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
See	Utilions Itilions		(A)	08,066 21,616 83,647 95,685 93,876 83,557 60,118 15,000 15,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Sistance		(A) (A) (A)	21,616 83,647 95,685 93,876 83,557 60,118 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0				09 09 09 09	
See	Integrate Inte		.	83,647 95,685 93,876 83,557 60,118 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0,000 \$0 \$6,471				09 09	
\$10 \$147,942 \$147,942 \$147,942 \$147,942 \$140,976	ketrofit A Construction REP aat Pump Pgm am Homes - Geo me Energy Audit Res, Small/Large C&l) Autive @ 8% \$0 \$0 Suilding Neroment (such		(è)	95,685 93,876 83,557 60,118 15,000 \$0 50,000 50,000				09 09	
See 5.50 See 6.50 See 5.50	Setrofit Setrofit Setrofit Setrofit Setroin		(\delta)	93,876 83,557 60,118 15,000 \$0 50,000 60,000 36,471				0 0 0 0	
Sign (177) Sign (178) Sig	RFP aat Pump Pgm am am am am am am am Energy Audit Res, Small/Large C&I) Intive @ 8% 80 Suliding Intive apital hancement (such			83,557 60,118 15,000 \$0 \$0 50,000 50,000 36,471				000	
\$100 \$100	### Standard			\$0.118 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0,000 \$0,471				00	
\$167.500 \$157.500 \$215.000 \$215.0000 \$215.0000 \$215.0000 \$215.0000 \$215.0000 \$215.0000 \$215.0000 \$215.0000 \$215.	RFP aat Pump Pgm am domes - Geo me Energy Audit Res, Small/Large C&I) ntive @ 8% \$0 3uilding 1 Fund capital hancement (such			\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0					
S205 000 S205 000 S60 000 S205 000 S20 004 S456 099 S206 256 S396 256 S456 099 S206 256 S396 256 S456 099 S206 250 S396 250 S456 099 S207 S207 S207 S207	am lomes - Geo me Energy Audit Res, Small/Large C&I) ntive @ 8% \$0 \$0 Suilding \$0 New Comment (such	\$0 \$0 \$25,000 \$0 \$0 \$388,236		\$0 \$0 \$0,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0					
\$25,000 \$25,000 \$50,	am flowes - Geo me Energy Audit Res, Small/Large C&l) ntive @ 8% \$0 \$0 3uilding hancement (such	\$25,000 \$00 \$0 \$388,236	9	\$0 50,000 \$0 36,471					
\$256.000 \$256.000 \$600.000 \$60	ne Energy Audit Res, Small/Large C&I) Intive @ 8% \$0 \$0 Sulding Indive Capital hancement (such	\$25,000	Φ.	\$0,000 \$0,471					
\$200.200 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$2	Res, Small/Large C&I) Intive @ 8% \$0 \$0 Suilding \$0 Fund capital hancement (such	\$366.236	9	\$0					
\$368.256 \$368.256 \$328.042 \$228.049 \$228.049 \$46.099 \$50 \$50 \$3.078.668 \$3.078.668 \$6.157.356 \$786.471 \$78.000 \$79.000 \$79.00000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.00000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.00000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.0000 \$79.000	Res, Small/Large C&l) Intive @ 8% \$0 \$0 Suliding Fund capital hancement (such	\$368.236		36,471					
\$0 \$226,049 \$226,049 \$456,099 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$226,049 \$226,099 \$1,725,000 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$20,076,668 \$61,57,356 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$1,725,000 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$1,725,000 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$1,725,000 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$1,725,000 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$2 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$2 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$2 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$2	ntive @ 8% \$0 \$0	\$368,736		36,471					
\$0 \$0.5228.049 \$6.157,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0.5228.049 \$2.156.099 \$1.725,000 <th>ntive @ 8% \$0 \$0 Suliding Fund capital hancement (such</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	ntive @ 8% \$0 \$0 Suliding Fund capital hancement (such								
\$0 \$228,049 \$466,099 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$20,078,668 \$23,078,668 \$61,725,000 \$1,725,000 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$2011 \$0 \$0 \$3,941,168 \$7,882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$2011 \$0 \$0 \$3,941,168 \$7,882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$2011 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$2011 \$2011 \$2 \$2010 \$2011	\$0 \$0 Suilding Fund capital hancement (such Fund capital								-
\$0 \$0 \$3,079,6668 \$6,167,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$1 \$0 \$862,500 \$862,500 \$1,725,000 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$20 \$0 \$0 \$3,941,168 \$7,882,336 \$1 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1	Suliding Sulfang Fund capital hancement (such			26,099				\$0	
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,725,000 \$1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$3,941,168 \$7,822,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$2 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$2011 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 <td>Capital Invested in Building Improvements Funds used for Loan Fund capital Loan Fund credit enhancement (such</td> <td>\$3,078,668</td> <td>Š</td> <td>57,336</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$4</td> <td>\$10</td> <td>80</td>	Capital Invested in Building Improvements Funds used for Loan Fund capital Loan Fund credit enhancement (such	\$3,078,668	Š	57,336			\$4	\$10	80
\$0 \$0<	Improvements Funds used for Loan Fund capital Loan Fund credit enhancement (such								
\$862,500 \$862,500 \$1,725,000 \$2,000	Funds used for Loan Fund capital Loan Fund credit enhancement (such			OS.				Ş	
\$0 \$0 \$3.941,168 \$7.882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 2009 2009 200 2010 2010 2011	Loan Fund credit enhancement (such	\$862.500		25.000				9 6	
\$0 \$0 \$3.941,168 \$7.882,336 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$10 \$10 2009 2009 Q4 Total CY09 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY10 Total CY10 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	as interest rate buy-down)								
\$0 \$0 is \$3.941,168 \$3.941,168 \$7.882,336 \$81 \$82 \$8				04				\$0	
Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY109 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Total CY10 Total CY10 \$0 <td< td=""><td>0\$ 0\$ 0\$</td><td>0 \$3,941,168</td><td></td><td>32,336</td><td></td><td>2040</td><td>\$4</td><td>\$10</td><td></td></td<>	0\$ 0\$ 0\$	0 \$3,941,168		32,336		2040	\$4	\$10	
IO/AIG# IO/A	April May June		r			203	r	‡	- 40 I
IO/AIG# IO/A		\$0					-	1	olai o
IO/AIG# IO/AIG# <t< td=""><td>Applicant In-kind Contribution</td><td>\$0</td><td></td><td>\$0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9</td><td></td></t<>	Applicant In-kind Contribution	\$0		\$0				9	
IO/AIG# IO/A	Program Participant Contribution	80		80				0	
IO/AIG# IO/A	Loans & Other Financing	\$0		80				0	
IO/AIG# IO/A	Forward Capacity Market Payments	\$0		\$0				9	
IO/AIG# IO/A	Other Grants	\$0		\$0				9	
IO/AIG# IO/A	GHGER Fund (this proposal)	0\$		0\$				0\$	
10/AIG# 10/AIG# 10/AIG# 10/AIG#	0\$ 0\$		Ce	6			-		
i0/AIG# i0/AIG#	24		O p	O p			2	0\$	\$0
#PUNO!	GHGER Funds as a % of TOTAL		VIC#	Ę				וט/אט#	יטיי יוט#
	TOTAL			ē				20/20	#DIA/0

Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative

NH Core EE Program Budget Q2-Q4 2009

C. Budget Details by Utility

Program	NGRID	NHEC	PSNH	Unitil	TOTAL
CORE EE Programs (additional funds)					-
Energy Star Lighting	\$40,826	\$32,697	\$0	\$54,295	\$127,818
Energy Star Appliances / Products (Room AC Turn In, Refrigerator	\$44,307	\$35,077	\$100,000	\$46,000	\$225,384
Energy Star Homes (Green Building Certification)	\$112,625	\$47,441	\$100,000	\$48,000	\$308,066
HES (Fuel Neutral Multi-Family Weatherization)	\$440,025	\$217,656	\$250,000	\$113,935	\$1,021,616
HEA (approximate 50% increase in homes/units for each utility)	\$132,452	\$110,846	\$800,000	\$140,348	\$1,183,647
Small Business Retrofit	\$80,861	\$32,697	\$0	\$182,127	\$295,685
Large Business Retrofit (Additional Projects, Retro-commissioning)	\$33,967	\$45,434	\$800,000	\$214,474	\$1,093,876
New Equipment & Construction	\$67,209	\$16,348	\$100,000	\$0	\$183,557
Education (Energy Code Training, BOC Level 2, Tech College BPI	\$4,099	\$20,424	\$100,595	\$35,000	\$160,118
	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	0\$
Utility Specific Programs (additional funding)					
Energy Rewards RFP	\$0	\$0	\$315,000	O \$	\$315,000
High Efficiency Heat Pump Program	80	Ç.	O\$:	0\$	ο θ
Smart Start Program	80	0\$	08	9 4	9 6
ESHomes Geothermal	08) (\$50,000	Q €	850 000
EE Website & Home Energy Audit	\$0	0\$	0\$	0 \$	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Navi P(S)					
Solar Hot Water: Residential	G #	\$71.071	4325,000	6	9
Solar Hot Water: Small Business	9 €	615 700 615 700	\$405,000	#40,100 #00 #00	9407,04
Solar Hot Water: Large Business	000	\$20.403	\$105,000	\$00,026 \$0	\$125,403
		•))))
Funds for Loan Fund Capital (RLF) RGGI Funds for Revolving Loan Fund	\$300,000	\$200,000	\$500,000	\$725,000	\$1,725,000
TOTAL (not including RGGI Funds for Revolving Loan Fund)	\$956,371	\$636,463	\$3,150,595	\$957,808	\$5,701,237
PROJECTED GRAND TOTAL	\$1,032,881	\$687,380	\$252.048 \$3,402,643	\$76,625 \$1,612,432	\$456,099 \$6,157,336
Total with Revolving Loan Fund, Program Budget and Performance Incentive:	entive:				\$7,882,336
Note 1: At Risk Performance Incentive 0-12% shown at 8% incentive lovel					- 1
income in the second of the se					

D. Benefit to Cost Analysis

Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative Total Resource Cost Benefit Cost Analysis

Program	TRC B/C Ratio	TRC Benefit (\$000)	TRC Cost (\$000)	TRC Cost Utility Cost (\$000)	Customer Cost (\$000)	Number of Participants
CORE EE Programs (additional funds) Energy Star Lighting Energy Star Appliances / Products (Room AC Turn In. Refrigerator Pickup)	3.02	\$769	\$255	\$131	\$122 \$56	50,704
(C)	1.38	\$600	\$434	\$310	\$119	122
HES (Fuel Neutral Multi-Family Weatherization) HEA (approximate 50% increase in homes/units for each utility)	1.20	\$1,639 \$1,280	\$1,366 \$1,258	\$1,011	\$334 \$63	702
Small Business Retrofit	2.87	\$1,550	\$540	\$316	\$218	59
Large Business Retrofit (Additional Projects, Retro-commissioning)	2.97	\$8,816	\$2,967	\$1,110	\$1,855	85
INEW Equipment & Construction Education (Energy Code Training, BOC Level 2. Tech College BPI Training)	2.68	\$8/2	\$326	\$180 \$157	\$142 \$0	ن ر
Utility Specific Programs (additional funding)	, ,	6	e 0	€ 	() () (•
High Efficiency Heat Pump Program	7.17	457,14 408.	4384 480	აქა ეჭე	6974	4 C
Smart Start Program		\$0	\$ \$	0\$ 8	0\$ \$	0
ESHomes Geothermal	1.64	\$167	\$102	\$50	\$52	7
EE Website & Home Energy Audit		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
SmartStart - RGGI \$ for revolving loan fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
New RGGI Funded Programs						
Solar Hot Water: Residential	0.50	\$879	\$1,773	\$407	\$1,366	202
Solar Hot Water: Small & Large Business	0.57	\$682	\$1,203	\$329	\$873	42
Funds for Loan Fund Capital (RLF) RGGI Funds for Revolving Loan Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0
TOTAL	1.67	\$18,832	\$11,250	\$5,733	\$5,472	53,942

Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative Performance Goals

Ufility	TRC	G TRC B/C Ratio	GHG Reductions (tons)
טמטו	•	1 22	7 400 40
NHEC	(-	1.56	12,418,10
PSNH		1.67	61,450.51
UNITIL		1.99	23,662.26
TOTAL		1.67	104,666.30

RGGI Expansion CORE Energy Efficiency Programs

Letters of Support

March 20, 2009



Home Builders & Remodelers Association of New Hampshire

"Building New Hampshire's Future"

The Housing Center • 119 Airport Road • Concord, New Hampshire 03301 603-228-0351 • F: 603-228-1877 • E: info@hbranh.com • W: www.hbranh.com

OFFICERS

Mark A.Pederzini, GMB, CAPS, CGB, CGP President

> Merritt Peasley First Vice President

Roger Demanche, CGB, CGP Vice President/Treasurer

Bruce Sullivan Vice President/Secretary

Jim Ingram Vice President of Associates

Mark Flanders, GMB, CGR, CGP
National Director

Ron Robichaud NAHB State Representative

Dianne Beaton, CGA, CAPS Associate National Director

Roger Bouchard, GMB, CGB, CAPS, CGP Immediate Past President

> Kendall Buck, CAE Executive Vice President

Dave Bowman
Director of Member Services

Sharon Wayman Accounting Manager

Denise Barous Administrative Assistant March 20, 2009

Mr. Jack Ruderman
Director, Sustainable Energy Division
Public Utilities Commission
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301

Dear Mr. Ruderman:

The Home Builders & Remodelers Association of New Hampshire (HBRANH) is writing this letter in support of New Hampshire CORE Utilities' proposal to the PUC for the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Fund.

The HBRANH has long partnered with the CORE Utilities on promoting energy efficient building and remodeling in New Hampshire through our joint promotion of the Energy Star Home program.

This proposal would provide critical support for the recently approved National Green Building Standard and would be instrumental in encouraging builders and remodelers to build to this new Standard.

Homes built to the Standard are expected to be 15-60% more energy-efficient than comparable new homes, which also means that they're 30-75% more energy-efficient than homes built just a decade ago. Depending on the locality, this could translate into annual utility savings of \$200-\$3000 a year or more depending on whether renewable sources are included.

The estimated energy savings from that increased energy-efficiency also is expected to translate directly to greenhouse gasses on a 1-to-1 basis. Standard-certified home could reduce a minimum of 4,500 pounds of GHG gases out of the air per home.

The average home construction produces 2 tons of waste. A green home can produce less waste (about 25% less) and divert most of the remainder of what is produced from landfills into recycling, deconstruction, and other re-usable alternatives.



March 20, 2009

Mr. Jack Ruderman Director, Sustainable Energy Division

Page 2.

A certified green home could use 25-50% less water than a comparable home.

The HBRANH will be developing an aggressive training program for the building industry in New Hampshire and, coupled with the CORE Utilities' proposed program, will go a long ways towards achieving the goals of reducing emissions of greenhouse gases resulting from energy use in New Hampshire, pursuant to RSA 125:O-23.

We hope the Commission will look favorably upon this proposal.

Sincerely yours,

Kendal 4. Buck

Kendall L. Buck, CAE Executive Vice President.



Mr. Jack Ruderman Director, Sustainable Energy Division NH Public Utilities Division 21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301

March 18, 2009

Dear Mr. Ruderman;

KW Management, Inc. has had an extended and established business reputation, throughout New England for providing products and services that encourage and increase the efficiency of thermal energy systems and take advantage of conservation opportunities that lead towards energy use reduction. Consequently, our organization is extremely pleased to support the PSNH Solar Thermal proposal to the PUC for the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Fund.

Over the years KW Management has partnered with PSNH on promoting energy efficient buildings in the residential sector through our combined promotion of the Energy Star Homes and the New Hampshire Saves @ Home programs. Our energy auditing assistance along with the follow-on weatherization activities have made significant reductions towards green house gas emissions while securing energy related investments through state funding in the direction of Electrical kilowatt hour and Thermal energy BTU reductions.

Further, our organization has taken a leadership role throughout our state in the education and development of energy related building approaches, energy star and better building practices, including overall training and guidance for architects, builders, commercial and municipal building improvements including residential structures; and in addition, to the more structured educational classes at the NH Technical Institutions around the state; all focused at energy reduction, increased efficiency and decreased carbon emissions.

Mr. Ruderman, we in the industry around the state, are very encouraged with the PSNH proposal and offer our support to the proposed program and petition the PUC Commission for their continued collaboration for providing dedicated funding for programs that are focused towards green house gas emissions. Thank You.

Sincerely Yours,

Mark P. Weissflog

Mark P. Weissflog

President



Scott Albert

Principal & Northeast Region Manager

Ph: 603.656.0336

Fax: 603.656.0301

scott.albert@gdsassociates.com

March 19, 2009

Mr. Gil Gelineau

Public Service Company of New Hampshire

P.O. Box 330

Manchester, New Hampshire, 03105

Dear Gil,

I am pleased to submit this letter of intent for advancing a fuel neutral weatherization program within the State of New Hampshire. In this effort, staff from our Manchester, NH office will be made available and look forward to providing the following services and support:

- comprehensive 3rd party audits
- technical assistance
- retro-commissioning opportunities identification, implementation and assessment
- program design and associated report formats, education and training materials development
- other program implementation and analysis/evaluation support as needed.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please don't hesitate to call.

Thanks for your consideration and interest in using the local and skilled resources here at GDS.

Sincerely,

Scott M. Albert

Principal and Region Manager